

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Critical behaviour in the spontaneous resistive anisotropy near a ferromagnetic percolation threshold

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 3381 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/1/21/010) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 94.79.44.176 The article was downloaded on 10/05/2010 at 18:11

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## Critical behaviour in the spontaneous resistive anisotropy near a ferromagnetic percolation threshold

## H P Kunkel, Z Wang and Gwyn Williams

Department of Physics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man. R3T 2N2, Canada

Received 1 February 1989, in final form 29 March 1989

Abstract. The spontaneous resistive anisotropy (SRA) in PdNi has been measured in the vicinity of the critical Ni concentration ( $c_0$ ) necessary to establish a ferromagnetic ground state. These data provide the first demonstration of a power-law relation for the SRA on the reduced composition ( $c/c_0 - 1$ ) near  $c_0$ ; the associated critical exponent governing this relationship is estimated experimentally.

The spontaneous resistive anisotropy (SRA) is a measure of the difference in the resistance of a single-domain ferromagnetic conductor in zero induction (B) when the magnetisation lies parallel (||) or perpendicular ( $\perp$ ) to the current direction. Its existence has been known for over a century (Thomson 1857). The SRA is usually defined by the ratio (Dorleijn (1976) who discusses various extrapolation procedures for estimating the SRA).

$$\Delta \rho / \rho_0 = [3(\rho_{\parallel}(B) - \rho_{\perp}(B)) / (\rho_{\parallel}(B) + 2\rho_{\perp}(B))]_{B \to 0}.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Two complementary approaches have been introduced to interpret such data, the first based on an itinerant picture (Mott 1936, Campbell *et al* 1970; Malozemoff 1986), and the second on a localised model (Friederich and Fert 1974). Both, however, rely on the presence of two principal components, a polarising field and spin-orbit coupling at the scattering sites, so that the SRA does not simply depend on the square of the magnetisation, as the magnetic component in the resistivity often does. This is most clearly seen in localised models where this anisotropy is shown to arise from electric quadrupole (D) scattering (Friederich and Fert 1974), with

$$\Delta \rho / \rho_0 = (D/V) [\langle J_z^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{3} J (J+1)]$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

when the potential scattering term  $V \gg D$ ; J is the total angular momentum at the scattering site. This latter model has been used primarily to discuss the anisotropy induced in paramagnetic systems (dilute AuHo, for example) by an externally applied polarising field. By contrast, itinerant models have been applied predominantly to the large body of data accumulated on dilute alloys based on ferromagnetic transition-metal hosts (Dorleijn 1976), where the (polarising) exchange field is large. To date, however, no investigation of the SRA in systems close to the ferromagnetic instability has been performed (Senoussi *et al* 1977<sup>†</sup>), and no specific theoretical prediction for its behaviour <sup>+</sup> These authors also mention the problems encountered in attempting to measure the SRA near  $c_0$  in PdNi.

0953-8984/89/213381 + 07 \$02.50 © 1989 IOP Publishing Ltd

exists. Here, we report the results of the first such investigation, introduce a new technique for estimating the SRA when this ratio becomes very small, demonstrate a power-law relationship for the SRA near the critical concentration  $(c_0)$  for ferro-magnetism and determine the critical exponent governing this relationship.

The system we have chosen to investigate is dilute **PdNi**. Here, (i)  $c_0$  has been well established at  $(2.30 \pm 0.05)$  at.% Ni by a variety of techniques (Tari and Coles 1971, Murani *et al* 1974), (ii) Ni is known to carry an orbital moment in this host (Senoussi *et al* 1977, Hamzic *et al* 1978), and (iii) it was shown in a recent Letter (Kunkel *et al* 1987) that measurements of the SRA in low applied field ( $H_a \leq 0.01$  T, which introduces no significant modification to either the intrinsic spin-polarisation or the conduction-electron trajectories) could be used to infer the presence of an emerging exchange field close to the critical composition. The last paper also contains details of the sample preparation and of the AC technique (Muir and Strom-Olsen 1976) used to measure the field-induced fractional change in resistance to a few parts in 10<sup>6</sup>.

The problems that arise in measuring the SRA accurately on approaching the critical composition are illustrated in figure 1. At 5 at.% Ni ( $T_c \simeq 64$  K) the conventional extrapolation procedure<sup>†</sup> can be used to find the SRA from equation (1); the value so obtained  $(-2.43 \pm 0.03\%)$  agrees well with the one previous measurement in this composition range (Senoussi *et al* 1977). The result that  $\rho_{\perp} > \rho_{\parallel}$  is consistant with the predictions of a localised model (Kondo 1962) based on electric quadrupole scattering from either a d<sup>8</sup> or d<sup>9</sup> configuration at the Ni site. However, as the Ni composition is lowered towards  $c_0$ , not only does the magnitude of the SRA decrease rapidly, but the 'high field' slopes can be seen to increase substantially (as confirmed in table 1), so that estimates of the SRA based on this extrapolation procedure are subject to increasing uncertainty, as is also reflected in table 1. Indeed, in our opinion, the behaviour just discussed means that such an extrapolation technique cannot be relied upon to predict correctly the sign, let alone the magnitude, of the sRA, below 3 at.% Ni. By way of contrast, the insert in figure 1 demonstrates that the low-field data is unambiguous; it indicates the presence of a small sRA, the sign of which is definitely negative. Such behaviour can also be seen to occur down to 2.4 at.% Ni (figure 2), but by 2.3 at.% Ni no anisotropy could be observed at 1.5 K with the resolution currently available to us  $(\rho_{\perp} - \rho_{\parallel} \sim 10 \text{ p}\Omega \text{ cm})$ . The appearance of a non-zero SRA in 'low applied field' (<0.01) T close to  $c_0$ ) has been taken as convincing evidence for the presence of a strong exchange field in these samples, indicating that the 2.4 at.% sample is definitely ordered at 1.5 K, whereas the 2.3 at.% specimen is not. Such unambiguous results cannot be obtained from magnetisation measurements, where strong curvature in low temperature Arrott plots make the associated ordering temperatures difficult to identify (Murani et al 1974) near  $c_0$ . Our investigation of the AC susceptibility of PdNi confirms the difficulties encountered in accurately estimating not only  $T_c$ , but also the associated critical exponents. As in PdCo (Hamzic et al 1978) (but in contrast with PdMn) the existence of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Various field dependences (namely  $H^2$ ,  $H^{1/2}$ , etc) have been fitted to the higher field data, but none reproduce these data as well as the simple linear form shown.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> As we have argued previously, the appearance of some anisotropy in low applied field (<0.01 T) is strong evidence in favour of the presence of a significant exchange field. Such small applied fields cannot appreciably modify any intrinsic spin polarisation or the conduction-electron trajectories; we suggest that it simply renders the system single domain over distances on the order of the mean free path. Applied fields can certainly induce an anisotropy in paramagnetic systems (Friederich and Fert 1974), but such fields have to be sufficiently large to induce a significant polarisation of the scattering moments, typically  $H_a/T \ge 1 \text{ T K}^{-1}$  is required, far in excess of the values quoted above. All of the above are confirmed by the failure of small applied fields to induce an anisotropy in samples with less than 2.3 at.% Ni.



2350

Figure 1. The resistivity measured in transverse (upper curves) and longitudinal (lower curves) applied fields at 1.5 K for three typical samples: curves A, 5 at.% Ni; curves B, 3.5 at.% Ni; curves C, 2.6 at.% Ni. The linear extrapolations used for conventional estimates of the sRA are shown, along with the anisotropy measured at the field,  $H_a^{min}$ , at which the longitudinal magnetoresistance is a minimum. Inset: the low-field behaviour of the 2.6 at.% sample on an expanded scale.



Figure 2. The low-field transverse (upper curves) and longitudinal (lower curves) magnetoresistivities at 1.5 K plotted against the applied field for samples close to the critical composition: curves A, 2.9 at.% Ni; curves B, 2.7 at.% Ni curves C, 2.5 at.% Ni; curves D 2.4 at.% Ni. The anisotropy at  $H_a^{min}$  is shown in each case.

an orbital moment at the Ni site can be correlated with the presence of a regular contribution to the susceptibility near  $T_c$  that is difficult to saturate (Hall *et al* 1984) (presumably due to anistropy resulting from single ion spin-orbit coupling). This would mean that the measured susceptibility is not dominated by the singular (critical) component, but is obscured by contributions from domain wall motion and/or coherent rotation that remains below technical saturation. Thus the presence of an orbital moment, which is an essential component in the appearance of an SRA, can inhibit the interpretation of magnetic data.

While these low temperature magnetoresistance data thus make the presence of an anisotropy with  $(\rho_{\perp} > \rho_{\parallel})$  clear, the question still remains as to how the magnitude of the associated SRA can be estimated reliably. We suggest that the one consistent feature

Concentration (at.% Ni)	SRA <sup>a</sup> (%)	SRA <sup>b</sup> (%)	$d\rho_{\perp}/dH_{a}$ (n $\Omega$ cm T <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\parallel}/\mathrm{d}H_{\mathrm{a}}}{(\mathrm{n}\Omega~\mathrm{cm}~\mathrm{T}^{-1})}$	T <sub>c</sub> <sup>c</sup> (K)
2.1		$<-1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$26(\pm 1)$	$23.5(\pm 1)$	
2.2		$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$34(\pm 1)$	$31.5(\pm 1)$	
2.3		$< 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$37(\pm 1)$	$34(\pm 1)$	
2.4		$-9.2(\pm 2) \times 10^{-3}$	$42(\pm 1)$	$39.5(\pm 1)$	2.25
2.5		$-3.4(\pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	$47(\pm 1)$	$44(\pm 1)$	4.3
2.6		$-6.85(\pm0.4) \times 10^{-2}$	$52(\pm 2)$	$49(\pm 2)$	5
2.7		$-1.30(\pm 0.05) \times 10^{-1}$	$63(\pm 2)$	$57.5(\pm 2)$	7
2.8		$-2.03(\pm 0.05) \times 10^{-1}$	$67.5(\pm 2)$	$63(\pm 2)$	10
2.9		$-3.2(\pm 0.05) \times 10^{-1}$	$69(\pm 2)$	$69(\pm 2)$	12.5
3.0	$-5.3 \ (\pm 1.3) \times 10^{-1}$	$-3.55(\pm0.05) \times 10^{-1}$	$70(\pm 1)$	$67(\pm 1)$	13.5
3.5	$-1.14(\pm 0.05)$	$-1.11(\pm 0.02)$	$57.5(\pm 0.5)$	$52(\pm 0.5)$	32
4.0	$-1.72(\pm 0.04)$	$-1.68(\pm 0.03)$	$41.5(\pm 0.5)$	$35.5(\pm 0.5)$	45
4.5	$-2.12(\pm 0.03)$	$-2.12(\pm 0.01)$	$31.2(\pm 0.5)$	$25.5(\pm 0.5)$	58
5.0	$-2.43(\pm 0.03)$	$-2.41(\pm 0.01)$	$24.8(\pm 0.5)$	$19.5(\pm 0.5)$	64

Table 1. Summary of data on PdNi.

<sup>a</sup> From the extrapolation procedure (not able to give accurate prediction below 3.0 at. % Ni).

<sup>b</sup> From  $\Delta \rho(H_{\rm a}^{\rm min})$ .

° Estimated from AC susceptibility data; for the reasons outlined in the text, uncertainties range from  $\pm 0.25$  K at 2.4 at.% to  $\pm 1$  K at 5 at.%.

of these magnetoresistance data—the appearance of a minimum in  $\rho_{\parallel}(H_a)$  at  $H_a = H_a^{\min}$ —can be exploited to estimate the SRA, specifically by finding the ratio

$$\Delta \rho(H_a^{\min})/\rho_0 = \left[\rho_{\parallel}(H_a^{\min}) - \rho_{\perp}(H_a^{\min})\right]/\rho_0.$$
(3)

It is obvious that the use of equation (3) provides a method for measuring the anisotropy in each sample at a unique field  $(H_a^{\min})$ , thus avoiding the complications inherent in extrapolation procedures. Furthermore, as is evident from figures 1 and 2, this characteristic field  $H_{a}^{\min}$ , at which the ratio is measured, exhibits the desirable property of approaching zero as  $c \rightarrow c_{0}$ . This means that the potential perturbing effects of an external field are minimised in this sensitive composition range. Indeed, estimates of the effects of the latter at the measuring field can be made by examining the quantity  $H_a^{\min} \cdot (\rho'_{\perp} - \rho'_{\parallel})$  where  $\rho'_{\perp} (= d\rho_{\perp}/dH_a)$  and  $\rho'_{\parallel} (= d\rho_{\parallel}/dH_a)$  are taken from the highfield slopes. This quantity is comparable to the listed uncertainty in the SRA shown in table 1. Furthermore, the result that the low-field 'normal' magnetoresistance is weaker than its high-field counterpart means that this latter estimate represents an upper limit. All this is confirmed by our failure to observe an anisotropy at low fields in samples below  $c_0$  (which nevertheless have comparable high field slopes). However, estimates of the SRA based on the use of equation (3) must agree with those obtained using established extrapolation techniques (at least, in situations where comparisons are possible). We have estimated the SRA using both methods in samples containing  $\geq 3$  at. % Ni where this comparison is possible. As table 1 shows, these two sets of estimates are in excellent agreement.

Having demonstrated the applicability of this low-field method in **Pd**Ni, it is now possible to use it in conjunction with data similar to those shown in figure 2 to find the SRA in samples with composition close to  $c_0$  (where the extrapolation method is not reliable). The corresponding SRA estimates are also listed in table 1.

These latter estimates drop rapidly as the critical composition is approached, and this has prompted us to examine the possibility of a power-law relationship between the two, governed by some critical exponent  $\Delta$ :

$$\Delta \rho / \rho_0 \propto (c/c_0 - 1)^{\Delta}. \tag{4}$$

We have attempted to fit equation (4) to the data in table 1 using  $c_0$  values in the range  $(2.3 \pm 0.05)$  at.%, as deduced from previous analyses (Tari and Coles 1971, Murani *et al* 1974) and confirmed by recent magnetoresistance anisotropy measurements (Kunkel *et al* 1987). Figure 3 shows the results of the first such attempt to fit this type of data: the power-law relationship does reproduce the SRA estimates at 1.5 K for concentrations close to  $c_0$  ( $(c/c_0 - 1) < 5 \times 10^{-1}$ ) with parameters in the range

$$c_{0} = 2.25 \text{ at.} \% \text{ Ni}$$
  $\Delta = 2.25 \pm 0.1$ 

to

$$c_{0} = 2.30 \text{ at.} \% \text{ Ni}$$
  $\Delta = 2.0 \pm 0.1.$ 

We argue for the lower estimate for  $c_0$  (and the larger for  $\Delta$ ) in spite of the result that the 1.5 K data are equally well fitted by both. This argument is based on our measurements of the anisotropy as a function of temperature between 1.5 and 4.2 K. The sRA is, of course, temperature dependent, vanishing as the temperature increases towards  $T_c$ . Between 1.5 and 4.2 K we find little change in the sRA for samples with  $T_c$ above about 10 K. In the other samples there is a decrease in the sRA at 4.2 K that becomes progressively more marked for samples closer to  $c_0$ , with the anisotropy in the 2.4 at.% sample ( $T_c \approx 2.25$  K) actually vanishing at 4.2 K. The data reproduced in figure 3 on the 2.4 at.% sample was thus obtained at a temperature (1.5 K) not too far below its ordering temperature. Measurements at temperatures below 1.5 K would thus be expected to yield an increased sRA for it. Our estimates for this expected increase (based on comparisons with the temperature dependence exhibited by the sRA in other samples) is around 15%. Such data still would be compatible with the power-law relationship based on the lower  $c_0$  estimate, but the larger  $c_0$  value would not produce such a convincing plot.

Finally we indicate that other data we have acquired also support the estimate for  $c_0$ given above. The identification of  $c_0$  as a critical composition means that plots of quantities such as  $(1/\rho_0)(d\rho/dH_a)(1/T_c)$  against composition should diverge at  $c_0$ . This is simply equivalent to stating that  $T_c \rightarrow 0$  as  $c \rightarrow c_o$ . Measurements of  $T_c$  close to the critical composition in PdNi are difficult not only for the technical reasons outlined above, but also because  $T_c$  falls well below 1 K. The advantage of plotting the quantity indicated above is two-fold; first, it uses data acquired from the same sample, for which the SRA was measured, and second, it allows the data on paramagnetic samples to be included by simple and conventional replacement of  $T_c$  for the ferromagnetic specimens by T (the measuring temperature) in the paramagnetic alloys. Thus, not only can data for samples with composition above  $c_0$  measured above their ordering temperature be included (e.g.  $T_{\rm c}$  < 1.5 K, the lowest measuring temperature, would apply in the present experiment specifically to the 2.3 at.% Ni sample; furthermore, it is precisely in this composition range that an accurate evaluation of  $T_{\rm c}$  becomes increasingly difficult for the reasons outlined previously), but samples with composition below  $c_0$  can also be assessed (Loewen et al 1986). These data are reproduced in figure 4; they peak between 2.2 and 2.3 at. % Ni, thus providing indirect confirmation of our choice for  $c_0$ .





**Figure 3.** SRA against the reduced composition  $(c/c_{\circ} - 1)$  on a log-log plot with  $c_{\circ} = 2.25$  at.% Ni. The straight line drawn corresponds to a power-law dependence (equation (4)) with  $\Delta = 2.25$ .

Figure 4. The scaled high-field slope against composition on a log-linear plot. The full curves are merely guides to the eye. The data for the 1.5 at. % Ni sample is estimated from Loewen *et al* (1986).

In summary, to our knowledge, no experimental measurements or theoretical prediction for critical behaviour in the SRA close to the critical composition exists. The numerical value we estimate for the exponent  $\Delta$  is quite different from that predicted for, say, the Hall coefficient exponent ( $g = -0.05 \pm 0.05$ ) near a conductivity percolation threshold (Straley 1988), and while it is close to the value predicted for the corresponding conductivity exponent ( $t = 2.02 \pm 0.06$ ) (Gerauld *et al* 1984), such an association cannot be justified. Specifically, both of these latter exponents were calculated for systems in the vicinity of a percolation controlled conductivity threshold, whereas the present system is a good conductor over the entire composition range investigated (the conductivity is dominated by conduction-electron scattering from the screened Coulomb potential at the Ni sites, with weak magnetic scattering corrections), but is near a magnetic threshold. If this emerging ferromagnetism is treated within the framework of a percolation approach, then the localised model leads to the following prediction for the behaviour of the sRA. Using the latter model's expression summarised in equation (2), it might be argued that this ratio varies as  $m^2$  or possibly  $m^3$  (since we measured the 'saturated sRA' (that is, at temperatures well below  $T_c$ ) a Wortis type expansion might be applicable); this yields a variation of the form  $\langle S_z \rangle^{l(l+1)/2}$ , i.e.  $m^3$  for operators with quadrupolar symmetry (l = 2). In a percolation context, if this magnetisation m is taken simply to be proportional to the probability  $P(c) = (c/c_0 - 1)^{\beta}$  of a given spin belonging to the infinite cluster, then with  $\beta = 0.4$  (Stauffer (1979) independent of lattice type (Kertesz 1982)), one obtains  $\Delta \simeq 0.8 \, m^2$  or  $1.2 \, m^3$ . This value is much smaller than that estimated from our measurements, so we suggest that an additional exponent(s) must

be involved. However, at the present time we are unable to suggest what it might be. We hope therefore that the present measurements stimulate further work in this area.

We would like to thank Professor B W Southern for a number of discussions and suggestions, and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada for support.

## References

Campbell I A, Fert A and Jaoul O 1970 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 3 595 Dorleijn J W F 1976 Philips Res. Rep. 31 287 Friederich A and Fert A 1974 Phys. Rev. Lett. 33 1214 Gerauld G R, Scriven L E and Davis H T 1984 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 17 3429 Hall N G, Roshko R M and Williams G 1984 J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 14711 Hamzic A, Senoussi S, Campbell I A and Fert A 1978 J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 8 1947 Kertesz J 1982 J. Physique 42 L393 Kondo J 1962 Prog. Theor. Phys. Kyoto 27 772 Kunkel H P, Wang Z and Williams G 1987 J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 17 L157 Loewen C, Saran M and Williams G 1986 J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 12 43 Malozemoff A P 1986 Phys. Rev. B 34 1853 Mott N F 1936 Proc. R. Soc. A 153 699 Muir W B and Ström-Olsen J O 1976 J. Phys. E: Sci. Instrum. 9 163 Murani A P, Tari A and Coles B R 1974 J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 4 1769 Senoussi S, Campbell I A and Fert A 1977 Solid State Commun. 21 269 Stauffer D 1979 Phys. Rep. 541 Straley J P 1988 Phys. Rev. B 38 11639 Tari A and Coles B R 1971 J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 1 L69 Thomson W 1857 Proc. R. Soc. 8 546